



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/887,746	06/21/2001	Takashi Kunii	393032026400	7489
25224	7590	11/01/2007	EXAMINER	
MORRISON & FOERSTER, LLP			CARLSON, JEFFREY D	
555 WEST FIFTH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 3500			3622	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90013-1024				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
11/01/2007		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/887,746	KUNII, TAKASHI
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Jeffrey D. Carlson	3622

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jeffrey D. Carlson.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) David Yang.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 16 October 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-5.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant called for clarification regarding the 112P2 rejection and possible correction. Examiner reiterated his position that claim 1's section that "receives", "selects", and "performs" is unclear in that the language appears to require performance of method steps rather than "structure". Examiner recommended claiming that a section was "configured to receive", "configured to select", etc. so as to clearly set forth structural capabilities. There was no particular problem with claim 2. Claim 3 was suggested to be amended to read "section is configured to select". The rest of the claims rejected under 112P2 can be cured with similar changes.